

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,221	12/19/2005	Motohiro Miyasaka	126381	4033
25944 7590 02/22/2010 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			JOYCE, WILLIAM C	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/561,221 MIYASAKA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit William C. Joyce 3656 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the drawing(s) he held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1:			

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

	1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
		application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s

1)	\boxtimes	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SD/CE)

4)	Interview	Summary	(PTO-41

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Art Unit: 3656

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed November 18, 2010 for the above identified patent application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 2, and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saneshige et al. (USP 5,145,266).

Saneshige et al. discloses an oil-impregnated sintered sliding bearing comprising: an axis; plural porous sintered compacts (12,22) joined with each other by sizing; a cavity provided between the sintered compacts at a center portion of the bearing in a direction of the axis; and a gap (12c) continuously extending from an end portion of the cavity along the axis and having a width narrower than that of the cavity, wherein the gap has an opening at an end surface of the bearing or a peripheral surface of the bearing, and is used for a guide passage through which a lubricating oil discharged from the bearing is supplied to a predetermined place when the lubricating oil is discharged to the surface of the bearing by temperature rise of the lubricating oil due to the rotation of a shaft supported by the bearing.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,221 Page 3

Art Unit: 3656

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saneshige et al. (USP 5,145,266).

Saneshige et al. illustrates the bearing having an angle between a chamfer portion and the inside surface of the housing (5). Saneshige et al. does not teach the angle being 45 degrees or less. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the chamfer of Saneshige et al. with an angle of 45 degrees of less, as a matter of engineering design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/561,221

Art Unit: 3656

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in
this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP
§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37
CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,221 Page 5

Art Unit: 3656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William C. Joyce/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3656